



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PORT 150
PORT 150
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Www.unsto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	TTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 99-247US 6601	
09/482,135	01/12/2000	Kent E Regnier	99-247US		
	590 07/11/2003		i de la composição de la c		
	ORPÔRATED		EXAMINER		
2222 WELLING LISLE, IL 605			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA		
•		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2839 DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			***	Ahr
	Application No.	App	licant(s)	INV
•	09/482,135	REC	SNIER, KENT E	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art	Unit	
	Chandrika Prasad	283	9.	:
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cov r	sh et with the corres	pondence addres	is
Period for Reply	VIC CET TO EVO	DE 2 MONTU(S) E	ROM.	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep	136(a). In no event, howev	er, may a reply be timely file	d e considered timely.	in a second
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	e, cause the application to t	pecome ABANDONED (35)	J.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u>	May 2003 .		•	
	nis action is non-fin	al.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow			ution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims				
.4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application	n.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		tion.		• •
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	<i>:</i> .		•	•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.				
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirem	nent.	. • ·	
Application Papers		<i>;</i>		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) Objecte	d to by the Examine	r.	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)⊡ approved	d b)	by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		on.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f).	•
a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been recei	ved.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been recei	ved in Application N	o	•
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Books * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	this National Sta	ge
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to	a provisional ap	plication).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	, ,			
Attachment(s)	, ,			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTC Notice of Informal Paten Other:		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	ction Summary	Part	of Paper No. 24	

Application/Control Number: 09/482,135

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Appeal Brief

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 05/06/03, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below. Any inconvenience caused by such an action is deeply regretted.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2839

Both independent claims 1 and 22 recite "retention members engaging at least one of said contacts so as to impart ungapped condition to the connector" which is not definite. What is meant by ungapped condition to the connector. Ungapped condition generally refers to a condition between two surfaces or members. Engagement between the retention member and the contacts imparts ungapped condition between the contact and the retention member only.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6, 11, 13-20, 22-23 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kendall, Jr. (4,131,331).

Kendal (Figures 1-2) shows an electrical connector having an unitary dielectric housing 14, 14' with an open receptacle formed by the wall 16, 16' and having a through axis, an electrically conductive contact 10 positioned within the receptacle and a resilient retention member 18, 18' positioned within the receptacle to provide an ungapped condition between the contact and the retention member as well as an ungapped condition between the retention member and the receptacle. The retention member has an opening for receiving the contact, which substantially fills the opening. The housing provides stop surfaces to prevent axial as well as transverse movement of

Application/Control Number: 09/482,135

Art Unit: 2839

the retainer, the retainer being in between the stop surfaces and the contact. The contact has portions extending at two sides of the retainer, which are partially within the housing. The ungapped condition provides compliant and stable mount for the contacts. The external surface of the retention member engages the receptacle. The interior surface of the receptacle is parallel to the axis and acts as a stop surface for the retention member.

But Kendall does not show a plurality of contacts, receptacles and retention members. Such a feature is well known in the art of electrical connectors. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide a plurality of receptacles, retention members and contacts because this would require a mere duplication of essential parts, which involve only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. vs. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

6. Claims 5, 7-10, 21, 24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kendall, Jr. in view of Roath et al.

Kendall shows all the features of these claims as described in Paragraph 5 above except a portion of the contact being bent at an acute angle to the axis after insertion into the receptacle, spacing between the contacts 1 mm or 0.05 inch and the contacts having a land portion and a terminal portion. The instant invention does not provide any reasons or specific problem to be solved by these features. These features are well known in the art of electrical connectors. Roath (Figures 1-25) shows an electrical connector having a plurality of electrically conductive contacts 13 with land portion 13c and a terminal portion 13t, which is bent before or after assembly at an

Application/Control Number: 09/482,135

Art Unit: 2839

acute angle to its axis and the contacts are arranged in an array in 1 mm or 0.05 inch grid.

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over-Kendall, Jr. in view of Laub.

Kendall shows all the features of this claim as described in Paragraph 5 above except the housing made of a plurality of strips. The instant invention does not provide any reasons or specific problems to be solved by making the housing as a plurality of strips. Such a configuration for a contact housing is shown by Laub. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to make the housing in several parts in the form of strips as shown by Laub because it has been held that constructing a formerly integral part in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 12/16/02 have been fully considered but they are most in view of new grounds of rejection. Kendall clearly shows ungapped conditions between the contact and the retention member as well as ungapped condition between the retention member and the receptacle in the housing.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fukuda and Beinhaur et al. also disclose ungapped condition

Art Unit: 2839

between contacts, retention (seal) members and the receptacles in a connector housing.

Contact Information

10. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Plaza 4, Fourth Floor (receptionist)
2201 South Clark Place, Arlington, Virginia

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (703) 308-0977.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reached at (703) 308-2710. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9318 (general) and (703) 872-9319 for after-final.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or processing should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Chandrika Prasad Patent examiner July 2, 2003